

# Automorphism groups of Cayley-Dickson loops

Jenya Kirshtein \*

## Abstract

The Cayley-Dickson loop  $Q_n$  is the multiplicative closure of basic elements of the algebra constructed by  $n$  applications of the Cayley-Dickson doubling process (the first few examples of such algebras are real numbers, complex numbers, quaternions, octonions, sedenions). We discuss properties of the Cayley-Dickson loops, show that these loops are Hamiltonian, and describe the structure of their automorphism groups.

## 1 The Cayley-Dickson doubling process

The Cayley-Dickson doubling produces a sequence of power-associative algebras over a field. The dimension of the algebra doubles at each step of the construction. We consider the construction on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the field of real numbers. The results of the paper hold for any field of characteristic other than 2.

Let  $\mathbb{A}_0 = \mathbb{R}$  with conjugation  $a^* = a$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{A}_n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where multiplication, addition, and conjugation are defined as follows:

$$(a, b)(c, d) = (ac - d^*b, da + bc^*), \quad (1)$$

$$(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d), \quad (2)$$

$$(a, b)^* = (a^*, -b). \quad (3)$$

Conjugation defines a norm  $\|a\| = (aa^*)^{1/2}$  and the multiplicative inverse for nonzero elements  $a^{-1} = a^* / \|a\|^2$ . Notice that  $(a, b)(a, b)^* = (\|a\|^2 + \|b\|^2, 0)$  and  $(a^*)^* = a$ . Dimension of  $\mathbb{A}_n$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $2^n$ .

**Definition 1.** A nontrivial algebra  $A$  over a field is a division algebra if for any nonzero  $a \in A$  and any  $b \in A$  there is a unique  $x \in A$  such that  $ax = b$  and a unique  $y \in A$  such that  $ya = b$ .

**Definition 2.** A normed division algebra  $A$  is a division algebra over the real or complex numbers which is a normed vector space, with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfying  $\|xy\| = \|x\| \|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Theorem 3** (Hurwitz, 1898 [4]). The only normed division algebras over  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $\mathbb{A}_0 = \mathbb{R}$  (real numbers),  $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{C}$  (complex numbers),  $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$  (quaternions) and  $\mathbb{A}_3 = \mathbb{O}$  (octonions).

---

\*ykirshite@du.edu, Department of Mathematics, University of Denver, 2360 South Gaylord street, Denver, CO 80208, USA

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N05, 17D99

Keywords: Cayley-Dickson doubling process, Hamiltonian loop, automorphism group, octonion, sedenion

## 2 Cayley-Dickson loops and their properties

We will consider multiplicative structures that arise from the Cayley-Dickson doubling process.

**Definition 4.** A loop is a nonempty set  $L$  with binary operation  $\cdot$  such that

1. there is a neutral element  $1 \in L$  such that  $1 \cdot x = x \cdot 1 = x$  for all  $x \in L$ ,
2. for all  $x, z \in L$  there is a unique  $y$  such that  $x \cdot y = z$ ,
3. for all  $y, z \in L$  there is a unique  $x$  such that  $x \cdot y = z$ .

Define Cayley-Dickson loops  $(Q_n, \cdot)$  inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= \{\pm(1)\}, Q_1 = \{\pm(1, 0), \pm(1, 1)\}, \\ Q_n &= \{\pm(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0), \pm(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 1) \mid \pm(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in Q_{n-1}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

In a compact form,

$$Q_0 = \{\pm(1)\}, \quad Q_n = \{\pm(x, 0), \pm(x, 1) \mid \pm x \in Q_{n-1}\}. \quad (4)$$

Using this approach, multiplication (1) becomes

$$(x, 0)(y, 0) = (xy, 0), \quad (5)$$

$$(x, 0)(y, 1) = (yx, 1), \quad (6)$$

$$(x, 1)(y, 0) = (xy^*, 1), \quad (7)$$

$$(x, 1)(y, 1) = (-y^*x, 0). \quad (8)$$

Conjugation (3) modifies to

$$(x, 0)^* = (x^*, 0), \quad (9)$$

$$(x, 1)^* = (-x, 1). \quad (10)$$

All elements of  $Q_n$  have norm one due to the fact that

$$\|(x, x_{n+1})\| = \|x\| = \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| = \dots = \|x_1\| = 1,$$

however, not all the elements of  $\mathbb{A}_n$  of norm one are in  $Q_n$ . The Cayley-Dickson loop is the multiplicative closure of basic elements of the corresponding Cayley-Dickson algebra. The first few examples of the Cayley-Dickson loops are the group of real units  $\mathbb{R}_2$  (abelian); the group of complex integral units  $\mathbb{C}_4$  (abelian); the group of quaternion integral units  $\mathbb{H}_8$  (not abelian); the octonion loop  $\mathbb{O}_{16}$  (Moufang); the sedenion loop  $\mathbb{S}_{32}$  (not Moufang); the trigtaduonion loop  $\mathbb{T}_{64}$ .

We write  $Q_n$  or  $Q$  instead of  $(Q_n, \cdot)$  further in the text.

Denote the loop generated by elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of a loop  $L$  by  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . Denote by  $i_n$  an element  $(1_{Q_{n-1}}, 1)$  of  $Q_n$ . Such element  $i_n$  satisfies  $Q_n = \langle Q_{n-1}, i_n \rangle$ , thus  $Q_n = \langle i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \rangle$ . We call  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$  the *canonical generators* of  $Q_n$ . Any  $x \in Q_n$  can be written as

$$x = \pm \prod_{j=1}^n i_j^{\epsilon_j}, \quad \epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 = \mathbb{R}_2 &= \{1, -1\}, \\ Q_1 = \mathbb{C}_4 &= \{(1, 0), -(1, 0), (1, 1), -(1, 1)\} = \langle i_1 \rangle = \{1, -1, i_1, -i_1\}, \\ Q_2 = \mathbb{H}_8 &= \pm\{(1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1)\} = \langle i_1, i_2 \rangle = \pm\{1, i_1, i_2, i_1 i_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show some properties of the Cayley-Dickson loops.

**Theorem 5** ([3]). *Any pair of elements of a Cayley-Dickson loop generates a subgroup of the quaternion group. In particular, a pair  $x, y$  generates a real group when  $x = \pm 1$  and  $y = \pm 1$ ; a complex group when either  $x = \pm 1$ , or  $y = \pm 1$  (but not both), or  $x = \pm y \neq \pm 1$ ; a quaternion group otherwise.*

Lemma 33 extends Theorem 5 and shows that any three elements of a Cayley-Dickson loop generate a subloop of either the octonion loop, or the quasioctonion loop.

**Definition 6.** *A loop  $L$  is diassociative if every pair of elements of  $L$  generates a group in  $L$ .*

**Corollary 7.** *Every Cayley-Dickson loop is diassociative.*

*Proof.* The quaternion group  $\mathbb{H}_8$  is associative and the rest follows from Theorem 5.  $\square$

**Definition 8.** *Commutant of a loop  $L$ , denoted by  $C(L)$ , is the set of elements that commute with every element of  $L$ . More precisely,  $C(L) = \{a \mid ax = xa, \forall x \in L\}$ .*

**Definition 9.** *Nucleus of a loop  $L$ , denoted by  $N(L)$ , is the set of elements that associate with all elements of  $L$ . More precisely,  $N(L) = \{a \mid a \cdot xy = ax \cdot y, xa \cdot y = x \cdot ay, xy \cdot a = x \cdot ya, \forall x, y \in L\}$ .*

**Definition 10.** *Center of a loop  $L$ , denoted by  $Z(L)$ , is the set of elements that commute and associate with every element of  $L$ . More precisely,  $Z(L) = C(L) \cap N(L)$ .*

**Definition 11** ([9] p.13). *Let  $S$  be a subloop of a loop  $L$ . Then  $S$  is called a normal subloop if for all  $x, y \in L$*

$$\begin{aligned} xS &= Sx, \\ (xS)y &= x(Sy), \\ x(yS) &= (xy)S. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 12.** *Associator subloop of a loop  $L$ , denoted by  $A(L)$ , is the smallest normal subloop of  $L$  such that  $L/A(L)$  is a group.*

**Definition 13.** *Derived subloop of a loop  $L$ , denoted by  $L'$ , is the smallest normal subloop of  $L$  such that  $L/L'$  is an abelian group.*

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $S$  be a subloop of  $Q_n$ . The following holds*

1. *Center of  $S$ ,  $Z(S) = \{1, -1\}$  when  $|S| > 4$  and  $Z(S) = S$  otherwise.*
2. *Associator subloop of  $S$ ,  $A(S) = Z(S)$  when  $|S| > 8$  and  $A(S) = 1$  otherwise.*
3. *Derived subloop of  $S$ ,  $S' = Z(S)$  when  $|S| > 4$  and  $S' = 1$  otherwise.*

- Proof.* 1. Let  $S$  be a subloop of  $Q_n$ . By Theorem 5,  $S \leq \mathbb{C}_4$  when  $|S| \leq 4$ ;  $\mathbb{C}_4$  is an abelian group, hence  $Z(S) = S$ . Let  $|S| > 4$ . By Theorem 5,  $\langle 1, x \rangle \leq \mathbb{C}_4$  and  $\langle -1, x \rangle \leq \mathbb{C}_4$ ,  $\mathbb{C}_4$  is abelian and therefore  $\{1, -1\} \in C(S)$ . Let  $x \in S \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ , choose an element  $y \notin \{\pm 1, \pm x\}$ . Then  $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$  by Theorem 5, and  $[x, y] = -1$ . It follows that  $C(S) = \{1, -1\}$ . Also,  $\langle 1, x, y \rangle \leq \mathbb{H}_8$  and  $\langle -1, x, y \rangle \leq \mathbb{H}_8$ , therefore  $[1, x, y] = 1$  and  $[-1, x, y] = 1$  for any  $x, y \in S$ , and  $\{1, -1\} \in N(S)$ . It follows that  $Z(S) = \{1, -1\}$ .
2. Let  $|S| > 8$ . A group  $S/Z(S)$  is abelian, hence  $A(S) \leq Z(S)$ . Also,  $A(S) \neq 1$  since  $S$  is not a group, so  $A(S) = Z(S)$ . Let  $|S| \leq 8$ , then  $S \leq \mathbb{H}_8$  and  $\mathbb{H}_8$  is a group, so  $A(S) = 1$ .
3. Let  $|S| > 4$ . A group  $S/Z(S)$  is abelian, hence  $S' \leq Z(S)$ . Also,  $S' \neq 1$  since  $S$  is not an abelian group, so  $S' = Z(S)$ . Let  $|S| \leq 4$ , then  $S \leq \mathbb{C}_4$  and  $\mathbb{C}_4$  is an abelian group, so  $S' = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 15.** *Let  $Q_n$  be a Cayley-Dickson loop. The following holds*

1. *Conjugates of the elements of  $Q_n$  are  $x^* = -x$  for  $x \in Q_n \setminus \{1, -1\}$ ,  $1^* = 1$ ,  $(-1)^* = -1$ .*
2. *Orders of the elements of  $Q_n$  are  $|x| = 4$  for  $x \in Q_n \setminus \{1, -1\}$ ,  $|1| = 1$ ,  $|-1| = 2$ .*
3. *Inverses of the elements of  $Q_n$  are  $x^{-1} = x^*$  for all  $x \in Q_n$ .*
4. *Size of  $Q_n$  is  $2^{n+1}$ .*
5. *For  $k \leq n$ ,  $Q_k$  embeds into  $Q_n$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

- Proof.* 1. By induction on  $n$ . In  $\mathbb{R}_2$ ,  $1 \cdot 1 = -1 \cdot (-1) = 1$ . Suppose  $x^* = -x$  holds for all  $x \in Q_n \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ , then in  $Q_{n+1}$  by definition  $(x, 0)^* = (x^*, 0) = (-x, 0) = -(x, 0)$  and  $(x, 1)^* = (-x, 1) = -(x, 1)$ .
2. By induction on  $n$ . In  $\mathbb{C}_4$ ,  $(1, 0)(1, 0) = (1, 0)$  and  $(1, 1)(1, 1) = -(1, 0)$ . Suppose  $x^2 = -1$  holds for all  $x \in Q_n \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ , then in  $Q_{n+1}$   $(x, 0)(x, 0) = (xx, 0) = (-1, 0)$  and  $(x, 1)(x, 1) = (-x^*x, 0) = (xx, 0) = (-1, 0)$ .
3. Follows from 1. and 2.  $x^*x = (-x)x = -(xx) = 1 = -(xx) = x(-x) = xx^*$  when  $x \neq \pm 1$  and  $(\pm 1)^2 = 1$ .
4. By definition.
5.  $Q_k \cong \{(x, 0) \mid (x, 0) \in Q_{k+1}\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 16.** *A loop  $L$  is an inverse property loop if for every  $x \in L$  there is  $x^{-1} \in L$  such that  $x^{-1}(xy) = y = (yx)x^{-1}$  for every  $y \in L$ .*

**Corollary 17.** *Cayley-Dickson loop is an inverse property loop.*

*Proof.*  $x^{-1} = x^*$  by Proposition 15.  $x^*(xy) = (x^*x)y = y = y(xx^*) = (yx)x^*$  by Corollary 7.  $\square$

**Definition 18.** *Let  $L$  be a loop. For any  $x, y \in L$  define commutator  $[x, y]$  by  $xy = (yx)[x, y]$ .*

**Definition 19.** *Let  $L$  be a loop. For any  $x, y, z \in L$  define associator  $[x, y, z]$  by  $xy \cdot z = (x \cdot yz)[x, y, z]$ .*

**Theorem 20** (Moufang [6]). *Let  $(M, \cdot)$  be a Moufang loop. If  $[x, y, z] = 1$  for some  $x, y, z \in M$ , then  $x, y, z$  generate a group in  $(M, \cdot)$ .*

**Lemma 21.** *Let  $x, y, z$  be elements of  $Q_n$ . The following holds*

1. *Commutator  $[x, y] = -1$  when  $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$  and  $[x, y] = 1$  when  $\langle x, y \rangle < \mathbb{H}_8$ .*
2. *Associator  $[x, y, z] = 1$  or  $[x, y, z] = -1$ . In particular,  $[x, y, z] = 1$  when  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \leq \mathbb{H}_8$  and  $[x, y, z] = -1$  when  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ .*

*Proof.* 1. By Theorem 5,  $\langle x, y \rangle < \mathbb{H}_8$  when either  $x = \pm 1$ , or  $y = \pm 1$ , or both, or  $x = \pm y$ , moreover,  $\langle x, y \rangle < \mathbb{H}_8$  implies that  $\langle x, y \rangle \leq \mathbb{C}_4$ . The complex group  $\mathbb{C}_4$  is abelian, hence  $[x, y] = 1$  when  $\langle x, y \rangle < \mathbb{H}_8$ . Next, suppose  $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$ , i.e.,  $x \neq \pm 1$ ,  $y \neq \pm 1$ ,  $x \neq \pm y$ . The quaternion group  $\mathbb{H}_8$  is not abelian, therefore  $[x, y] = -1$ .

2. By induction on  $n$ . Holds on elements of  $\mathbb{R}_2$ . Suppose  $[x, y, z] = 1$  or  $[x, y, z] = -1 \forall x, y, z \in Q_n$ . Then in  $Q_{n+1}$ ,  $(x, x_{n+1})(y, y_{n+1}) \cdot (z, z_{n+1}) = (f(x, y, z), (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1} + z_{n+1}) \bmod 2)$ , where  $x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1} \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $f(x, y, z)$  is some product of  $x, y, z, x^*, y^*, z^*$  and possibly  $-1$ . Recall that  $x^* = x$  or  $x^* = -x$  for  $x \in Q_n$ , therefore  $f(x, y, z)$  is in fact the product of  $x, y, z$ , each occuring exactly once, and possibly  $-1$ . Similarly,  $(x, x_{n+1}) \cdot (y, y_{n+1})(z, z_{n+1}) = (g(x, y, z), (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1} + z_{n+1}) \bmod 2)$ , where  $g(x, y, z)$  is some product of  $x, y, z$ , each occuring exactly once, and possibly  $-1$ . In other words,  $f(x, y, z)$  and  $g(x, y, z)$  only differ by a sign, which shows that either

$$[(x, x_{n+1}), (y, y_{n+1}), (z, z_{n+1})] = 1 \text{ or } [(x, x_{n+1}), (y, y_{n+1}), (z, z_{n+1})] = -1.$$

Finally,  $\mathbb{H}_8$  is associative, therefore  $[x, y, z] = 1$  when  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \leq \mathbb{H}_8$ .

$\mathbb{O}_{16}$  is a Moufang loop and not a group, therefore by Moufang's Theorem  $[x, y, z] = -1$  when  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  be a cyclic group of order 2.

**Remark 22.** *A group  $Q_n/\{1, -1\}$  is abelian and isomorphic to (multiplicative)  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from Lemma 14 and construction (4).  $\square$

**Lemma 23.** *Let  $B$  be a subloop of  $Q_n$ . The following holds*

1. *If  $B \neq 1$  and  $x \in Q_n \setminus B$ , then  $|\langle B, x \rangle| = 2|B|$ .*
2. *If  $B = 1$  and  $x \in Q_n \setminus B$ , then  $\langle B, x \rangle = \{1, -1, x, -x\}$ .*
3. *Any  $n$  elements of a Cayley-Dickson loop generate a subloop of size  $2^k$ ,  $k \leq n + 1$ .*
4. *The size of  $B$  is  $2^m$  for some  $m \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* 1. Let  $1 \neq B \leq Q_n$  and  $x \in Q_n \setminus B$ . By Lemma 14,  $Z(Q_n) \leq B$  and  $Z(Q_n) \leq \langle B, x \rangle$ , then  $B/Z(Q_n)$  and  $\langle B, x \rangle/Z(Q_n)$  are subgroups of  $Q_n/Z(Q_n) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ . It follows that  $|\langle B, x \rangle/Z(Q_n)| = 2|B/Z(Q_n)|$  because we work in the vector space  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  and we added another vector.

2. Let  $B = 1$ . If  $x \neq -1$  then  $x^2 = -1$  by Proposition 15 and  $\langle B, x \rangle = \langle x \rangle = \{1, -1, x, -x\}$ . Also,  $\langle B, -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}$ .
3. By induction on  $n$ . The size of  $\langle x \rangle$  is 1, 2 or 4. Suppose  $n$  elements of a Cayley-Dickson loop generate a subloop  $B$  of size  $2^k$  for some  $k \leq n + 1$ . Add an element  $x$  to  $B$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $|\langle B, x \rangle| = |B| = 2^k$ ,  $k \leq n + 1 \leq n + 2$ . If  $x \notin B$ , then  $|\langle B, x \rangle| = 2|B| = 2^{k+1}$ ,  $k + 1 \leq n + 2$ , by 1.
4. Follows from 3.  $\square$

### 3 Cayley-Dickson loops are Hamiltonian

We show that the Cayley-Dickson loops are Hamiltonian. Norton [8] formulated a number of theorems characterizing diassociative Hamiltonian loops and showed that the octonion loop is Hamiltonian, however, at that time he did not study the generalized Cayley-Dickson loops. It is showed computationally in [2] that  $\mathbb{T}_{64}$  is Hamiltonian.

**Definition 24.** A Hamiltonian loop is a loop in which every subloop is normal.

**Theorem 25.** Cayley-Dickson loop  $Q_n$  is Hamiltonian.

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a subloop of  $Q_n$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $x, y \in Q_n$ . Using Lemma 21 and Lemma 14,

$$\begin{aligned} xs &= [x, s]sx \in \{sx, -sx\} \subseteq Sx, \\ (xs)y &= [x, s, y]x(sy) \in \{x(sy), -x(sy)\} \subseteq x(Sy), \\ x(ys) &= [x, y, s](xy)s \in \{(xy)s, -(xy)s\} \subseteq (xy)S. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 26. (Norton)** If  $A$  is an abelian group with elements of odd order,  $T$  is an abelian group with exponent 2, and  $K$  is a diassociative loop such that

1. elements of  $K$  have order 1, 2 or 4,
2. there exist elements  $x, y$  in  $K$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$ ,
3. every element of  $K$  of order 2 is in the center,
4. if  $x, y, z \in K$  are of order 4, then  $x^2 = y^2 = z^2$ ,  
 $xy = d \cdot yx$  where  $d = 1$  or  $d = x^2$ ,  
and  $xy \cdot z = h(x \cdot yz)$  where  $h = 1$  or  $h = x^2$ ,

then their direct product  $A \times T \times K$  is a diassociative Hamiltonian loop.

Theorem 26 with  $A = T = 1$  can alternatively be used to establish the result for all Cayley-Dickson loops.

### 4 Automorphism groups of the Cayley-Dickson loops

In this section we study the automorphism groups of the Cayley-Dickson loops.

**Definition 27.** Let  $L$  be a loop. A map  $\phi : L \rightarrow L$  is an automorphism if it is a bijective homomorphism.

**Definition 28.** The set of all automorphisms of a loop  $L$  forms a group under composition, called the automorphism group and denoted by  $\text{Aut}(L)$ .

**Definition 29.** Define the orbit of a set  $X$  under the action of a group  $G$  by  $O_G(X) = \{gx \mid g \in G, x \in X\}$ .

**Definition 30.** Define the (pointwise) stabilizer of a set  $X$  in  $G$  by  $G_X = \{g \in G \mid gx = x, x \in X\}$ .

**Theorem 31** (Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem [10] p.67). Let  $G$  be a finite group acting on a finite set  $X$ , then  $|O_G(X)| = [G : G_X] = \frac{|G|}{|G_X|}$ .

We use Theorem 31 to find an upper bound on the size of  $Aut(\mathbb{C}_4)$  and  $Aut(\mathbb{H}_8)$ . Consider  $G = Aut(\mathbb{C}_4)$ . Any automorphism on  $G$  fixes 1 and  $-1$ , therefore it is only possible for an automorphism to map  $i_1 \mapsto i_1$  (e.g., the identity map), and  $i_1 \mapsto -i_1$  (e.g., conjugation). The size of the orbit  $O_G(i_1)$  is therefore 2. Notice that  $G_{\{i_1\}} = G_{\mathbb{C}_4}$ , since  $\mathbb{C}_4$  is generated by  $i_1$ . It follows that

$$|G| = |O_G(i_1)| \cdot |G_{\{i_1\}}| = |O_G(i_1)| = 2.$$

Next, let  $G = Aut(\mathbb{H}_8)$ . Again, 1 and  $-1$  are fixed by any automorphism and are not in  $O_G(i_1)$ , therefore the size of  $|O_G(i_1)|$  can be at most  $|\mathbb{H}_8| - 2 = 6$ . When  $i_1$  is stabilized,  $|G_{\{i_1\}}| = |O_{G_{\{i_1\}}}(i_2)| \cdot |G_{\{i_1, i_2\}}|$ , moreover,  $G_{\{i_1, i_2\}} = G_{\mathbb{H}_8}$ , since  $\mathbb{H}_8$  is generated by  $\{i_1, i_2\}$ . The orbit  $O_{G_{\{i_1\}}}(i_2)$  can have the size at most  $|\mathbb{H}_8| - 4 = 4$ , because the set  $\{1, -1, i_1, -i_1\}$  is fixed. We have

$$|G| = |O_G(i_1)| \cdot |G_{\{i_1\}}| = |O_G(i_1)| \cdot |O_{G_{\{i_1\}}}(i_2)| \cdot |G_{\{i_1, i_2\}}| = |O_G(i_1)| \cdot |O_{G_{\{i_1\}}}(i_2)| \leq 6 \cdot 4 = 24. \quad (11)$$

It has been shown, in fact, (see, e.g., [11] p.148), that  $Aut(\mathbb{H}_8)$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_4$  of size 24.

It has been established in [5] that  $Aut(\mathbb{O}_{16})$  has size 1344 and is an extension of the elementary abelian group  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$  of order 8 by the simple group  $PSL_2(7)$  of order 168. One can use the approach similar to (11) to see what  $Aut(\mathbb{O}_{16})$  looks like.

To get an idea about the general case, we calculated the automorphism groups of  $\mathbb{S}_{32}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{64}$  using LOOPS package for GAP [7]. Summarizing, the sizes of the automorphism groups of the first five Cayley-Dickson loops are

$$\begin{aligned} |Aut(\mathbb{C}_4)| &= 2, \\ |Aut(\mathbb{H}_8)| &= 24 = 6 \cdot 4, \\ |Aut(\mathbb{O}_{16})| &= 1344 = 14 \cdot 12 \cdot 8, \\ |Aut(\mathbb{S}_{32})| &= 2688 = 2 \cdot (14 \cdot 12 \cdot 8), \\ |Aut(\mathbb{T}_{64})| &= 5376 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot (14 \cdot 12 \cdot 8). \end{aligned}$$

One may notice that the automorphism groups of  $\mathbb{C}_4$ ,  $\mathbb{H}_8$  and  $\mathbb{O}_{16}$  are as big as they possibly can be, subject to the obvious structural restrictions in  $\mathbb{C}_4, \mathbb{H}_8, \mathbb{O}_{16}$ , only fixing  $\{1, -1\}$  (1 is the only element of order 1, and  $-1$  is the only element of order 2). On the contrary, the automorphism groups of  $\mathbb{S}_{32}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{64}$  are only double the size of the preceeding ones. Theorem 32 below explains such behavior. We denote  $e = (1_{Q_{n-1}}, 1) \in Q_n$  and use it further in the text.

**Theorem 32.** *Let  $n \geq 4$ . If  $\phi : Q_n \mapsto Q_n$  is an automorphism and  $\psi = \phi \upharpoonright_{Q_{n-1}}$ , then*

1.  $\phi(1) = 1, \phi(-1) = -1,$
2.  $\phi(e) = e$  or  $\phi(e) = -e,$
3.  $\psi \in Aut(Q_{n-1}),$
4.  $\phi((x, 1)) = \psi(x)\phi(e), \forall x \in Q_{n-1}.$

We establish several auxiliary results and use them to prove Theorem 32 at the end of the chapter. The following lemma shows that all subloops of  $Q_n$  of size 16 fall into two isomorphism

classes. In particular, any such subloop is either isomorphic to  $\mathbb{O}_{16}$ , the octonion loop, or  $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ , the quasioctonion loop, described in [1, 3]. The octonion loop is Moufang, however, the quasioctonion loop is not. We take  $\langle i_1, i_2, i_3 \rangle = \pm\{1, i_1, i_2, i_1i_2, i_3, i_1i_3, i_2i_3, i_1i_2i_3\}$  as a canonical octonion loop, and  $\langle i_1, i_2, i_3i_4 \rangle = \pm\{1, i_1, i_2, i_1i_2, i_3i_4, i_1i_3i_4, i_2i_3i_4, i_1i_2i_3i_4\}$  as a canonical quasioctonion loop in  $\mathbb{S}_{32}$ . We use LOOPS package for GAP [7] in Lemma 33 and further in the text to establish the isomorphisms between the subloops we construct, and either  $\mathbb{O}_{16}$  or  $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ .

**Lemma 33.** *If  $x, y, z$  are elements of  $Q_n$  such that  $|\langle x, y, z \rangle| = 16$ , then either*

$$\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16} \text{ or } \langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in Q_n$  such that  $|\langle x, y, z \rangle| = 16$ . We want to construct a loop

$$\langle x, y, z \rangle = \pm\{1, x, y, xy, z, xz, yz, (xy)z\}.$$

Fix the associators  $[x, y, z]$ ,  $[x, z, y]$ , and  $[x, y, xz]$ . Using diassociativity and Lemma 21.1,

$$x((xy)z) = [x, y, z]x(x(yz)) = [x, y, z](xx)(yz) = -[x, y, z]yz, \quad (12)$$

$$y(xz) = -(xz)y = -[x, z, y]x(zy) = [x, z, y]x(yz) = [x, y, z][x, z, y](xy)z, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y((xy)z) &= -((xy)z)y = -[x, y, z](x(yz))y = [x, y, z](x(zy))y \\ &= [x, y, z][x, z, y]((xz)y)y = [x, y, z][x, z, y](xz)(yy) \\ &= -[x, y, z][x, z, y](xz), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (xz)((xy)z) &= -((xy)z)(xz) = -[x, y, z](x(yz))(xz) = [x, y, z](x(zy))(xz) \\ &= [x, y, z][x, z, y]((xz)y)(xz) = -[x, y, z][x, z, y](y(xz))(xz) \\ &= -[x, y, z][x, z, y]y((xz)(xz)) = [x, y, z][x, z, y]y, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (yz)((xy)z) &= [x, y, z](yz)(x(yz)) = -[x, y, z](x(yz))(yz) \\ &= -[x, y, z]x((yz)(yz)) = [x, y, z]x, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)(xz) &= [x, y, xz]x(y(xz)) = -[x, y, xz]x((xz)y) = -[x, z, y][x, y, xz]x(x(zy)) \\ &= -[x, z, y][x, y, xz](xx)(zy) = [x, z, y][x, y, xz](zy) \\ &= -[x, z, y][x, y, xz](yz). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Multiplying (17) by  $(xy)$  on the left,

$$(xy)(yz) = [x, z, y][x, y, xz]xz. \quad (18)$$

Multiplying (17) by  $(xz)$  on the right,

$$(yz)(xz) = [x, z, y][x, y, xz]xy. \quad (19)$$

Equalities (12)-(19) together with some trivial calculations result in Table 1, i.e., it is sufficient to fix  $[x, y, z]$ ,  $[x, z, y]$  and  $[x, y, xz]$  in order to uniquely define  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ . We need to consider the following cases:

If  $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = [x, y, xz] = -1$ , then  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$  by  $\{x, y, z\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ .

If  $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = -1$ ,  $[x, y, xz] = 1$ , then  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$  by  $\{xz, yz, z\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3i_4\}$ .

If  $[x, y, z] = [x, y, xz] = -1$ ,  $[x, z, y] = 1$ , then  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$  by  $\{x, z, y\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3i_4\}$ .

If  $[x, y, z] = -1$ ,  $[x, z, y] = [x, y, xz] = 1$ , then  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$  by  $\{y, -xz, x\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3i_4\}$ .



1	x	y	xy	z	xz	yz	(xy)z
x	-1	xy	-y	xz	-z	$[x,y,z](xy)z$	$-[x,y,z]yz$
y	-xy	-1	x	yz	$[x,y,z][x,z,y](xy)z$	-z	$-[x,y,z][x,z,y]xz$
xy	y	-x	-1	(xy)z	$-[x,z,y][x,y,xz]yz$	$[x,z,y][x,y,xz]xz$	-z
z	-xz	-yz	$-(xy)z$	-1	x	y	xy
xz	z	$-[x,y,z][x,z,y](xy)z$	$[x,z,y][x,y,xz]yz$	-x	-1	$-[x,z,y][x,y,xz]xy$	$[x,y,z][x,z,y]y$
yz	$-[x,y,z](xy)z$	z	$-[x,z,y][x,y,xz]xz$	-y	$[x,z,y][x,y,xz]xy$	-1	$[x,y,z]x$
(xy)z	$[x,y,z]yz$	$[x,y,z][x,z,y]xz$	z	-xy	$-[x,y,z][x,z,y]y$	$-[x,y,z]x$	-1

Table 1: Multiplication table of  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$

If  $[x, y, z] = 1$ ,  $[x, z, y] = [x, y, xz] = -1$ , then  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{16}$  by  $\{-xy, z, x\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3 i_4\}$ .

If  $[x, y, z] = [x, y, xz] = 1$ ,  $[x, z, y] = -1$ , then  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{16}$  by  $\{x, y, z\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3 i_4\}$ .

If  $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = 1$ ,  $[x, y, xz] = -1$ , then  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{16}$  by  $\{y, z, x\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3 i_4\}$ .

If  $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = [x, y, xz] = 1$ , then  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathcal{O}_{16}$  by  $\{x, -yz, y\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3 i_4\}$ .  $\square$

Next, we study the associators in  $Q_n$ . We use the result to prove Lemmas 35 and 36.

**Lemma 34.** *Let  $x, y, z \in Q_{n-1}$ , then in  $Q_n$*

- (a)  $[(x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1)] = [x, y][z, y, x]$ ,
- (b)  $[(x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 0)] = [x, z][y, x, z][y, z, x]$ ,
- (c)  $[(x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 1)] = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]$ ,
- (d)  $[(x, 1), (y, 0), (z, 0)] = [y, z][x, y, z]$ ,
- (e)  $[(x, 1), (y, 0), (z, 1)] = [y, x][y, z][z, y, x]$ ,
- (f)  $[(x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 0)] = [z, x][z, y][y, x, z][y, z, x]$ ,
- (g)  $[(x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 1)] = [x, y][x, z][y, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]$ .

- Proof.* (a)  $(x, 0)(y, 0) \cdot (z, 1) = (xy, 0)(z, 1) = (z \cdot xy, 1) = [x, y](z \cdot yx, 1)$   
 $= [x, y][z, y, x](zy \cdot x, 1) = [x, y][z, y, x]((x, 0)(zy, 1)) = [x, y][z, y, x]((x, 0) \cdot (y, 0)(z, 1)).$
- (b)  $(x, 0)(y, 1) \cdot (z, 0) = (yx, 1)(z, 0) = (yx \cdot z^*, 1) = [y, x, z](y \cdot xz^*, 1) = [x, z][y, x, z](y \cdot z^*x, 1)$   
 $= [x, z][y, x, z][y, z, x](yz^* \cdot x, 1) = [x, z][y, x, z][y, z, x]((x, 0)(yz^*, 1))$   
 $= [x, z][y, x, z][y, z, x]((x, 0) \cdot (y, 1)(z, 0)).$
- (c)  $(x, 0)(y, 1) \cdot (z, 1) = (yx, 1)(z, 1) = (-z^* \cdot yx, 0) = [x, y](-z^* \cdot xy, 0)$   
 $= [x, y][z, x, y](-z^*x \cdot y, 0) = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y](x(-z^*) \cdot y, 0)$   
 $= [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y](x \cdot (-z^*)y, 0) = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]((x, 0) \cdot (-z^*y, 0))$   
 $= [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]((x, 0) \cdot (y, 1)(z, 1)).$
- (d)  $(x, 1)(y, 0) \cdot (z, 0) = (xy^*, 1)(z, 0) = (xy^* \cdot z^*, 1) = [x, y, z](x \cdot y^*z^*, 1)$   
 $= [x, y, z]((x, 1)((y^*z^*)^*, 0)) = [x, y, z]((x, 1)(zy, 0)) = [y, z][x, y, z]((x, 1)(yz, 0))$   
 $= [y, z][x, y, z]((x, 1) \cdot (y, 0)(z, 0)).$
- (e)  $(x, 1)(y, 0) \cdot (z, 1) = (xy^*, 1)(z, 1) = (-z^* \cdot xy^*, 0) = [y, x](-z^* \cdot y^*x, 0)$   
 $= [y, x][z, y, x](-z^*y^* \cdot x, 0) = [y, x][z, y, x]((x, 1)(-z^*y^*)^*, 1))$   
 $= [y, x][z, y, x]((x, 1)(yz, 1)) = [y, x][y, z][z, y, x]((x, 1)(zy, 1))$   
 $= [y, x][y, z][z, y, x]((x, 1) \cdot (y, 0)(z, 1)).$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(f)} \quad & (x,1)(y,1) \cdot (z,0) = (-y^*x,0)(z,0) = (-y^*x \cdot z,0) = [y,x,z](-y^* \cdot xz,0) \\
& = [z,x][y,x,z](-y^* \cdot xz,0) = [z,x][y,x,z][y,z,x](-y^*z \cdot x,0) \\
& = [z,x][y,x,z][y,z,x]((x,1)(-(-y^*z)^*,1)) = [z,x][y,x,z][y,z,x]((x,1)(z^*y,1)) \\
& = [z,x][z,y][y,x,z][y,z,x]((x,1)(yz^*,1)) = [z,x][z,y][y,x,z][y,z,x]((x,1) \cdot (y,1)(z,0)). \\
\text{(g)} \quad & (x,1)(y,1) \cdot (z,1) = (-y^*x,0)(z,1) = (z \cdot (-y^*)x,1) = [x,y](z \cdot x(-y^*),1) \\
& = [x,y][z,x,y](zx \cdot (-y^*),1) = [x,y][x,z][z,x,y](xz \cdot (-y^*),1) \\
& = [x,y][x,z][z,x,y][x,z,y](x \cdot z(-y^*),1) = [x,y][x,z][z,x,y][x,z,y]((x,1)((z(-y^*))^*,0)) \\
& = [x,y][x,z][z,x,y][x,z,y]((x,1)(-yz^*,0)) = [x,y][x,z][y,z][z,x,y][x,z,y]((x,1)(-z^*y,0)) \\
& = [x,y][x,z][y,z][z,x,y][x,z,y]((x,1) \cdot (y,1)(z,1)). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 35 shows that  $e \in Q_n$  is special; if we consider a subloop  $\langle x, y, e \rangle$  of  $Q_n$  such that  $|\langle x, y, e \rangle| = 16$ , then  $\langle x, y, e \rangle$  is always a copy of the octonion loop  $\mathbb{O}_{16}$ . Lemma 40 shows that this, however, is not the case for any element of  $Q_n \setminus \{\pm e\}$ . Therefore, an automorphism on  $Q_n$  cannot map  $e$  to an element  $x \in Q_n \setminus \{\pm e\}$ . Also, we use Lemma 39 to show that an element  $(x, 0)$  of  $Q_n$  is contained in more copies of  $Q_{n-1}$  than an element  $(y, 1)$ , and hence an automorphism on  $Q_n$  cannot map  $(x, 0)$  to  $(y, 1)$  for any  $x, y \in Q_{n-1}$ .

**Lemma 35.**  $\langle x, y, e \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$  for any  $x, y \in Q_n$  such that  $e \notin \langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be elements of  $Q_n$  such that  $e \notin \langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$ . As follows from the proof of Lemma 33, in order to prove that  $\langle x, y, e \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$[x, y, e] = [x, e, y] = [x, y, xe] = -1. \quad (20)$$

Let  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  be elements of  $Q_{n-1}$ . We use Lemma 34, and consider the following cases:

If  $x = (\bar{x}, 0), y = (\bar{y}, 0)$ , then  $xe = (\bar{x}, 0)(1, 1) = (\bar{x}, 1)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y, e] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (\bar{y}, 0), (1, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1, \\
[x, e, y] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (1, 1), (\bar{y}, 0)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1, \\
[x, y, xe] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (\bar{y}, 0), (\bar{x}, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $x = (\bar{x}, 0), y = (\bar{y}, 1)$ , then  $xe = (\bar{x}, 0)(1, 1) = (\bar{x}, 1)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y, e] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (\bar{y}, 1), (1, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, 1][1, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, 1, \bar{y}] = -1, \\
[x, e, y] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (1, 1), (\bar{y}, 1)] = [\bar{x}, 1][\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{y}, \bar{x}, 1][\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 1] = -1, \\
[x, y, xe] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (\bar{y}, 1), (\bar{x}, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, \bar{x}][\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}] = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $x = (\bar{x}, 1), y = (\bar{y}, 0)$ , then  $xe = (\bar{x}, 1)(1, 1) = (-\bar{x}, 0)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y, e] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (\bar{y}, 0), (1, 1)] = [\bar{y}, \bar{x}][\bar{y}, 1][1, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1, \\
[x, e, y] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (1, 1), (\bar{y}, 0)] = [\bar{y}, \bar{x}][\bar{y}, 1][1, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1, \\
[x, y, xe] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (\bar{y}, 0), (-\bar{x}, 0)] = [\bar{y}, -\bar{x}][\bar{x}, \bar{y}, -\bar{x}] = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $x = (\bar{x}, 1), y = (\bar{y}, 1)$ , then  $xe = (\bar{x}, 1)(1, 1) = (-\bar{x}, 0)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y, e] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (\bar{y}, 1), (1, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, 1][\bar{y}, 1][1, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, 1, \bar{y}] = -1, \\
[x, e, y] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (1, 1), (\bar{y}, 1)] = [\bar{x}, 1][\bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{y}][\bar{y}, \bar{x}, 1][\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 1] = -1, \\
[x, y, xe] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (\bar{y}, 1), (-\bar{x}, 0)] = [-\bar{x}, \bar{x}][-\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{y}, \bar{x}, -\bar{x}][\bar{y}, -\bar{x}, \bar{x}] = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $[x, y, e] = [x, e, y] = [x, y, xe] = -1$  for any  $x, y \in Q_n$  such that  $e \notin \langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$ . By Lemma 33,  $\langle x, y, e \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$  by  $\{x, y, e\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ .  $\square$

The following lemma helps to distinguish between some copies of  $\mathbb{O}_{16}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ , and is used to prove Lemmas 39 and 40.

**Lemma 36.** *Let  $x, y, z \in Q_{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 4$  be such that  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ . Then in  $Q_n$*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 0) \rangle &\cong \langle (x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}, \\ \langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle &\cong \langle (x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in Q_{n-1}$  be such that  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ . By Lemma 21,  $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = [y, x, z] = -1$ , and  $[x, y] = [y, z] = [x, z] = -1$ . Using Lemma 34,

$$[(x, 0), (z, 1), (y, 0)] = [x, y][z, x, y][z, y, x] = -1 \quad (21)$$

shows that  $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle > \mathbb{H}_8$  and hence  $|\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle| = 16$ , while

$$[(x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1)] = [x, y][z, y, x] = 1 \quad (22)$$

shows that  $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle$  is not Moufang and therefore  $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ . Similarly, using Lemma 34,

$$[(y, 1), (x, 0), (z, 1)] = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y] = -1, \quad (23)$$

$$[(x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 1)] = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y] = 1 \quad (24)$$

shows that  $\langle (x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ .

A loop  $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 0) \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$  as a copy of  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  in  $Q_n$ .

A loop  $\langle (x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$  by  $\{(x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 1)\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 37.** *Let  $B$  be a subloop of  $Q_n$  of index 2 and  $D$  be a subloop of  $Q_{n-1}$  of index 2. We call  $B$  a subloop of the first type when  $B = Q_{n-1}$ , a subloop of the second type when  $B = D \oplus De$ , a subloop of the third type when  $B = D \oplus (Q_{n-1} \setminus D)e$ .*

Figure 1 illustrates all subloops of index 2 of the sedenion loop  $\mathbb{S}_{32}$ . Rows in the figure correspond to the subloops, columns show the elements these subloops contain. One may notice that each of the subloops is of one of three types. The following lemma shows that this is the case for all Cayley-Dickson loops.

**Lemma 38.** *If  $B$  is a subloop of  $Q_n$  of index 2, then  $B$  is a subloop of either the first, or the second, or the third type.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 15,  $Q_{n-1}$  is a subloop of  $Q_n$  of index 2, it is of the first type. Let  $B$  be a subloop of  $Q_n$  of index 2, we assume  $B \neq Q_{n-1}$  further in the proof. By Lemma 14,  $Z(Q_n) = \{1, -1\} \in B$ . Consider  $B/Z(Q_n)$  and  $Q_n/Z(Q_n)$ . By Remark 22,  $Q_n/Z(Q_n) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ . Also, there is  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in B/Z(Q_n)$  such that  $a_n = 1$ , because  $B \neq Q_{n-1}$ . Define a map  $\phi : B/Z(Q_n) \mapsto B/Z(Q_n)$  by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , then  $\phi$  maps elements with  $x_n = 1$  ( $x_n = 0$ ) to elements with  $y_n = 0$  ( $y_n = 1$ ). Hence  $B/Z(Q_n)$  contains the same number of elements that end in 0 and that end in 1, and hence a group  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B, x_n = 0\}$  is a subgroup of



and  $\{x, y, z\} \cap (Q_{n-1} \setminus D) \neq \emptyset$ . Again, without loss of generality, suppose  $y \in D$  and  $z \in Q_{n-1} \setminus D$ , therefore  $(x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \in B$ . Using (21), (22),  $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ .

3. By Lemma 35, there is an element  $e \in Q_{n-1}$  such that for any  $x, y \in Q_{n-1}$ ,  $|\langle e, x, y \rangle| = 16$  implies that  $\langle e, x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ . However, by 2,  $B$  doesn't contain such an element.  $\square$

**Lemma 40.** *Let  $x \in Q_n \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm e\}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ . There exist  $y, z \in Q_n$  such that  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, suppose  $x \in Q_{n-1}$ . By Lemma 39 part 1, there exist  $y, z \in Q_{n-1}$  such that  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ . Using (21), (22),  $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ .  $\square$

On  $Q_n$ , define maps

$$(id, -id) : (x, x_{n+1}) \mapsto ((-1)^{x_{n+1}}x, x_{n+1}), \quad (25)$$

$$(id, id) : (x, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x, x_{n+1}), \quad (26)$$

where  $x \in Q_{n-1}$  and  $x_{n+1} \in \{0, 1\}$ . The map  $(id, id)$  is an identity; the map  $\phi = (id, -id)$  is an automorphism because

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((x, 0)(y, 0)) &= \phi((xy, 0)) = (xy, 0) = (x, 0)(y, 0) = \phi((x, 0))\phi((y, 0)), \\ \phi((x, 0)(y, 1)) &= \phi((yx, 1)) = (-yx, 1) = (x, 0)(-y, 1) = \phi((x, 0))\phi((y, 1)), \\ \phi((x, 1)(y, 0)) &= \phi((xy^*, 1)) = (-xy^*, 1) = (-x, 1)(y, 0) = \phi((x, 1))\phi((y, 0)), \\ \phi((x, 1)(y, 1)) &= \phi((-y^*x, 0)) = (-y^*x, 0) = (-x, 1)(-y, 1) = \phi((x, 1))\phi((y, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (of Theorem 32) Let  $\phi : Q_n \mapsto Q_n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , be an automorphism.

1. By Proposition 15,  $\phi(1) = 1$ ,  $\phi(-1) = -1$ .
2. Let  $x \in Q_n \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm e\}$ . By Lemma 40, there exist  $y, z \in Q_n$  such that  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ , however, by Lemma 35,  $\langle e, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$  for any  $y, z \in Q_n$ . Therefore it is only possible that  $\phi(e) = e$ , which holds when  $\phi$  is an identity map, or  $\phi(e) = -e$ , which holds when  $\phi = (id, -id)$ .
3. Consider the subloops of  $Q_n$  of index 2. By Lemma 39, any such subloop of the third type is not isomorphic to  $Q_{n-1}$ . A subloop of the first type (there is only one such subloop) is a copy of  $Q_{n-1}$  in  $Q_n$  of the form  $\{(x, 0) \mid x \in Q_{n-1}\}$ . Therefore any element  $(x, 0)$  is contained in at least one more copy of  $Q_{n-1}$  compared to an element  $(y, 1)$ . This shows that for every  $x \in Q_{n-1}$ ,  $\phi((x, 0)) = (y, 0)$  for some  $y \in Q_{n-1}$  and hence  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(Q_{n-1})$ .
4. Let  $x \in Q_{n-1}$ . Using multiplication formula (6),  $xe = (x, 0)(1, 1) = (x, 1)$ . If  $\phi$  is an automorphism on  $Q_n$ , then  $\phi((x, 1)) = \phi((x, 0)(1, 1)) = \phi((x, 0))\phi((1, 1)) = \psi(x)\phi(e)$ .  $\square$

Finally, we show that, starting at  $\mathbb{S}_{32}$ ,  $\text{Aut}(Q_n)$  is a direct product of  $\text{Aut}(Q_{n-1})$  and a cyclic group of order 2.

**Theorem 41.** *Let  $Q_n$  be a Cayley-Dickson loop and let  $n \geq 4$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(Q_n) \cong \text{Aut}(Q_{n-1}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G = \text{Aut}(Q_n)$ ,  $K = \text{Aut}(Q_{n-1})$ ,  $H = \{(id, id), (id, -id)\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $n \geq 4$ .

1. A group  $K$  is normal in  $G$  because  $[G : K] = 2$ .

2. Next, show that  $H$  is normal in  $G$ . Let  $g \in G$ ,  $h \in H$ . Notice that  $g^{-1}hg \in H$  iff  $g^{-1}hg \upharpoonright_{Q_{n-1}} = id_{Q_{n-1}}$ . Let  $x \in Q_{n-1}$ ,  $g = kh_0$ , where  $k \in K$ ,  $h_0 \in H$ .

$$g^{-1}hg(x) = h_0^{-1}k^{-1}hk \underbrace{h_0(x)}_x = h_0^{-1}k^{-1} \underbrace{hk(x)}_{k(x) \in Q_{n-1}} = h_0^{-1} \underbrace{k^{-1}k(x)}_x = h_0^{-1}(x) = x,$$

therefore  $g^{-1}hg \in H$ .

3. Both  $K$  and  $H$  are normal subgroups of  $G$ , therefore  $KH \leq G$ . Also,  $|KH| \geq 2|K| = |G|$ , hence  $KH = G$ .
4. Obviously,  $(id, -id) \notin K$  and  $H \cap K = id$ . □

**Acknowledgement** We thank Petr Vojtěchovský for numerous discussions and suggestions.

## References

- [1] R. E. Cawagas. On the structure and zero divisors of the Cayley-Dickson sedenion algebra. *Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl.*, 24:251–265, 2004.
- [2] R. E. Cawagas, A. S. Carrascal, L. A. Bautista, J. P. Sta. Maria, J. D. Urrutia, and B. Nobles. The subalgebra structure of the Cayley-Dickson algebra of dimension 32. arXiv:0907.2047v3.
- [3] C. Culbert. Cayley-Dickson algebras and loops. *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.*, 1(1):1–17, 2007.
- [4] A. Hurwitz. Über die Composition der quadratischen Formen von beliebig vielen Variabeln (On the composition of quadratic forms of arbitrary many variables) (in German). *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Gottingen*, pages 309–316, 1898.
- [5] M. Koca and R. Koç. Octonions and the group of order 1344. *Turk. J. Phys.*, 19:304–319, 1995.
- [6] R. Moufang. Zur Struktur von Alternativkörpern (in German). *Math. Ann.*, 110:416–430, 1935.
- [7] G. P. Nagy and P. Vojtěchovský. LOOPS, Package for GAP 4. Available at <http://www.math.du.edu/loops>.
- [8] D. A. Norton. Hamiltonian loops. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3:56–65, 1952.
- [9] H. O. Pflugfelder. *Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction*. Heldermann, 1990.
- [10] J. J. Rotman. *Advanced Modern Algebra*. American Mathematical Society, 2nd edition, 2010.
- [11] H. J. Zassenhaus. *The Theory of Groups*. Dover, 2nd edition, 1999.